

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,777	04/11/2008	Markus Johnsson	613-110	1595
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 12/30/201 NDERHYE, PC	EXAM	IINER	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			KELLY, ROBERT M	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/30/2011	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOMAIL@nixonvan.com clm@nixonvan.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/586,777	JOHNSSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ROBERT M. KELLY	1633	

	ROBERT M. KELLY	1633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extractions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after ISI (6) MOXTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply with, the act or extended period for reply with, place to extended period for reply with part and the common after the mailing aeroed pattern term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim Ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Oc	tober 2011.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) An election was made by the applicant in respo	nse to a restriction requirement :	set forth during th	e interview on			
; the restriction requirement and election	have been incorporated into this	action.				
4) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
5) Claim(s) 2-4 and 8-17 is/are pending in the app	lication.					
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,8-16</u> is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to.						
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - - - - - -				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 ILS C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(-/ (-/-				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary     Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/SE/ct)	Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/19/11.	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1633

#### DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment of 10/18/11 and response of 7/19/11 are entered.

Claims 1 and 5-7 are cancelled.

Claims 2-4 and 8-17 are presently pending.

### Claim Status, Cancelled Claims

In light of the cancellation of Claims 1 and 5-7, all objections and/or rejections of such claims are rendered moot, and thus, are withdrawn.

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's present (10/18/11) amendment to the claims has amended Claim 2 to being the generic claim, and cancelled Claim 1. Hence, substantially, Claim 2 is now the generic claim, and therefore, once allowable, the balance of the claims will be eligible for rejoinder, provided the case is not after-final when it becomes so-eligible.

Claims 3-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12/6/10.

Claim 2 is presently considered.

Art Unit: 1633

### Information Disclosure Statements

The attached IDS of 7/19/11 has been signed-off on all references. The references therein are considered.

# Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned Application/Control Number: 10/586,777

Art Unit: 1633

with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 2 remains provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of copending Application No. 10/566,972, for reasons of record.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim 2 remains provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of copending Application No. 10/572,306, for reasons of record.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim 2 remains provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19, 21-25, and 27-29 of copending Application No. 11/658,857, for reasons of record.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. Claim 2 remains provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of copending Application No.

11/975,243, for reasons of record,

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Response to Argument - ODP provisional rejections

Applicant has requested to deal with these objections when they are allowed, essentially asking they be held in abeyance (p. 5 of the response of 7/19/11).

Such is persuasive. However, they are noted to be provisional rejections, not objections.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

In light of the amendments, the rejection of Claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6.537,575, is withdrawn.

### Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,777

Art Unit: 1633

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT M. KELLY whose telephone number is (571)272-0729. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert M Kelly/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633